## Problem One.

Let  $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a measurable set with  $m(S) < \infty$  and let  $\epsilon > 0$  be a positive real number. Show that there exists a compact subset  $K \subset S$  such that

$$m(S) - \epsilon \le m(K) \le m(S).$$

*Proof.* If S is measurable, then  $m(S) = m^*(S) = \inf_{O \supset S} m^*(O)$ , so for any  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists some open  $O_{\epsilon} \supset S$  for which  $m(O_{\epsilon}) - m(S) < \epsilon$ .

Now in fact we want to apply this Remark not to S but to  $S^c$ . Notice that an open set  $O_{\epsilon} \supset S^c$  has as its complement a closed set  $(O_{\epsilon})^c = F_{\epsilon} \subset S$ .

Therefore, for any  $\epsilon > 0$  we can find  $F_{\epsilon/2} \subset S$  that  $m(S) - m(F_{\epsilon/2}) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ .

Now to generate a compact set K, note that a compact set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is the same as a closed and bounded set. Therefore, for fixed  $\epsilon$ , let  $K_n := \overline{B_n(0)} \cap F_{\epsilon/2}$ , where  $\overline{B_n(0)}$  denotes the closed ball of radius n and centre 0. Now thus defined,  $K_n$  is compact for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$K_1 \subset K_2 \subset K_3 \subset \cdots \subset F_{\epsilon/2},$$

and

$$F_{\epsilon/2} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n.$$

By monotonicity of measure and by the monotone convergence theorem in  $\mathbb{R}$ , it follows that

$$m(F_{\epsilon/2}) = \lim_{n \to \infty} m(K_n)$$

and for any  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists N sufficiently large that

$$m(F_{\epsilon/2}) - \frac{\epsilon}{2} < m(K_N) < m(F_{\epsilon/2}).$$

Therefore,

$$m(S) - \epsilon < m(K_N) < m(S)$$

as desired.  $\Box$ 

## Problem Two.

Let X be a set and  $\mathcal{M}$  be a  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of X. Suppose that  $m : \mathcal{M} \to [0, \infty]$  is a finitely additive, countably subadditive function. Show that m is countably additive.

*Proof.* It suffices to show that for any pairwise disjoint sequence of subsets  $S_0, S_1, S_2, \dots \subset X$ , and for any  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N} m(S_k) \le m(S)$$

where  $S = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} S_k$ . But indeed, write S as a disjoint union

$$S = \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{N} S_k\right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{k=N+1}^{\infty} S_k\right)$$

so that by finite additivity,

$$m(S) = m\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{N} S_k\right) + m\left(\bigcup_{k=N+1}^{\infty} S_k\right) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} m(S_k) + m\left(\bigcup_{k=N+1}^{\infty} S_k\right) \ge \sum_{k=1}^{N} m(S_k)$$

as required.  $\Box$ 

## Appendix to Problem One.

I typed out this unnecessary lemma before realising it was unnecessary for this problem. Nevertheless, it is interesting and important.

Lemma. If S is measurable, then for all  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists an open  $O_{\epsilon} \supset S$  such that  $m^*(O_{\epsilon} - S) < \epsilon$ .

Indeed, if S is measurable, then for any  $A \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$m^*(A) = m^*(A \cap S) + m^*(A \cap S^c).$$

We also know that  $m^*(S) = \inf_{\substack{O \supset S}} m^*(O)$ , so for any  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists some open  $O_{\epsilon} \supset S$  for which  $m^*(O_{\epsilon}) - m^*(S) < \epsilon$ . Put  $A = O_{\epsilon}$  into the equation above, to obtain

$$m^*(O_{\epsilon}) = m^*(O_{\epsilon} \cap S) + m^*((O_{\epsilon})^c \cap S)$$

which is

$$m^*(O_{\epsilon}) = m^*(S) + m^*((O_{\epsilon})^c - S).$$

Case 1. Suppose that  $m^*(S) = m(S) < \infty$ . Then we can subtract it from both sides to get

$$m^*((O_{\epsilon})^c - S) = m^*(O_{\epsilon}) - m^*(S) < \epsilon$$

as required.

Case 2. Alternatively, if  $m(S) = \infty$ , then chop up S into countably many pieces  $S_1, S_2, S_3, \cdots$  each of finite measure, and apply Case 1 to each piece, choosing  $\epsilon_j = 2^{-j} \epsilon$  for  $S_j$ . End of Lemma.  $\Box$ 

*Remark.* This Lemma furnishes us with a very important alternative definition of what it means to be a measurable set:

**Definition.**  $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is *measurable* just if for all  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists an open  $O_{\epsilon} \supset S$  such that  $m^*(O_{\epsilon} - S) < \epsilon$ .

N.B. that I haven't proved the back direction, namely that this definition entails the prof's definition of "measurable". The prof's definition is usually known as the *Caratheodory definition* of measurability. The back direction is "left as exercise." End of Remark.